

#20
ps

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : **Confirmation No. 9813**
Gerhard COUFAL : **Docket No. 2001_0462A**
Serial No. 09/830,074 : **Group Art Unit 1624**
Filed April 23, 2001 : **Examiner V. Balasubramanian**
METHOD FOR PRODUCING
PURE MELAMINE

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In the response filed September 16, 2003, reference was made to experimental results on pages 7 and 8. Referring to Example 3 of the present invention, the APHA value is given as 5. The two comparative experiments according to the method of Canzi et al. have an immeasurably high value (Example 1) or an APHA value larger than 100 (Example 2).

Regarding Example 3, it is stated that the APHA value is obtained after crystallization.

Between quenching and crystallization, some additional process steps are performed. These process steps are not recited in main claim 15.

To eliminate any possible issue as to whether the low APHA values are due to these process steps and not due to the invention as claimed, the following experiment was performed.

A sample from a melamine suspension was taken directly after quenching. The suspension was cooled to 20°C, whereby the melamine crystallized. The solid was washed out with a double amount of water. All other process conditions were identical to those in Example 3.

Due to some by-products, the melamine resin was slightly opaque. To facilitate the APHA measurement, some NaOH was added. It is important to note that the NaOH does not

affect the APHA value itself and that the APHA value has nothing to do with the opacity caused by the by-products.

The measured APHA value directly after quenching was 35.

This shows that directly after quenching, i.e. only using process steps from the independent claim, the APHA value is smaller by at least a factor of three in comparison to the APHA values in Examples 1 and 2. This is a clear indication that the claimed invention has an advantage over the prior art. The positive effect on the APHA value could not be expected.

In view of the foregoing taken with the response filed on September 16, 2003, allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerhard COUFAL

By: _____

Matthew Jacob

Registration No. 25,154

Attorney for Applicants

MJ/da

Washington, D.C. 20006-1021

Telephone (202) 721-8200

Facsimile (202) 721-8250

October 28, 2003

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

OCT 28 2003

OFFICIAL

FAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET**To:**Examiner V. Balasubramanian
Group Art Unit 9813**Company Name:**

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Fax Number:

(703) 308-4556

From:Matthew Jacob
Wenderoth, Lind & Ponack**Date:**

October 28, 2003

In re application of:Gerhard COUFAL
Serial No. 09/830,074
Filed April 23, 2001**OFFICIAL****RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****OCT 28 2003****URGENT**

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED, INCLUDING COVER SHEET 3

Further to our telephone conversation, please find enclosed a Supplemental Response.

CONFIDENTIALITY

The documents transmitted here-with contain confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the use of the person or entity to whom addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or an agent of the recipient responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, then you have received this transmission in error and are asked to promptly advise us by telephone or fax, and return the document to us by mail. Unauthorized copying, distribution, disclosure or other use of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient or their designee is prohibited.

IF THERE ARE ANY PROBLEMS WITH THIS TRANSMISSION
OR IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED ALL OF THE PAGES
PLEASE CALL (202) 721-8200.

Fax Operator: D. Anwar